(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

W.P.No. 1690 **/2003**

PETITIONER : **S. K. Dubey,**
S/o Late Shri M.L.Dubey,
Aged about 59 years,
Occupation- Sub Divisional Officer,
In Rural Engineering Department,
Sub Division No.2 Balod,
District- Durg(C.G.).

Versus –

RESPONDENTS : **1. State of Chhattisgarh,**
Through Secretary, Department of
Panchayat and Rural Development,
Mantralaya, D. K. S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)

2. Executive Engineer,
Rural Engineering Department,
Balod,District- Durg (C.G.)

3. Collector,
District- Durg (C.G.)

P. R. No. 1331/03
Presented by Shri Ashwini Saw
dated 29.9.03

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUITABLE WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

COPY.

(21)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 1690 **सन्** 2003

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	12.06.2003 Shri V.C.Ottalwar, with Shri Rajeev Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sunil Sinha, Addl. Advocate General with Shri Rajesh Pandey, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is working as Sub-Divisional Officer and is to retire on 30th Sept.2003 and in the meanwhile, he has been transferred from Balod to Tokapal (Bastar). It is submitted that the petitioner has undergone by-pass surgery and the facilities are not available at Tokapal. Counsel for the State pointed out that the petitioner has not submitted any representation raising his grievance. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will serve if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation along-with a copy of this order to the competent authority within a week from today and on such representation being filed the competent authority shall hear the grievance of the petitioner and decide the same by passing a reasoned order in accordance with law within 3 weeks from the date of production of representation. If any adverse order is passed and the petitioner thereby feels aggrieved, he may challenge the said order in accordance with law.	

(22)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

-2-

मामला क्रमांक ..... **सन् 200**

W.P. 1690/03

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not been relieved from the present place of posting and is continuing as such. If that be so, the petitioner shall be allowed to continue as such and he shall not be relieved till the decision of representation. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. In view of this order, M.W.P. 1519/03 and I.A.Nos.1921/03 and 4925/03 stand disposed of. C.C. as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	